Super-X Divertor helps enable the full potential of ST

- •Integrated operation of ST is challenging because of higher power density of ST –ST: high power density AND simultaneous high core τ_E , high core β , high neutron fluence –SXD addresses this, enabling full ST potential
- SXD uniquely expands the divertor plate to larger R_{maior} to increase wetted area, line length:
 - SXD most beneficial for low aspect ratio
 - Largest relative increase in R_{major} wetted area
 - Enables 2-3 x P_{SOL} than other novel divertor geometries (snowflake, old XD, plate tilt,..)
 - SXD allows largest increase in line lengthaddresses the short line length of ST divertor
 - lowers divertor plate temperature
 - reduces high Z plasma impurities (& accumulation)
 - Increases divertor radiation

tifs

- Largest synergisms with liquid metals
 - MHD problems $\sim local \; B^2 \, smallest in \; SXD$
 - Longest radial trunk => mitigates evaporation



Integrated Operation: SXD impacts other goals

- Greatly reduces the core radiation requirements to save divertor
 - Improves core confinement
 - More power through pedestal => higher pedestal =>
 - Better core confinement
 - Broader pressure profiles => higher beta
- Allows lower edge density & recycling => higher confinement?
 - Divertor is the limiting factor- SXD increases wetted area, line length
 - Lower edge density => greater CD efficiency, higher bootstrap current => higher beta
 - Lower edge density => lower v_* , η => likely higher confinement?
 - Longer line length allows low plate plasma temperature
 - Less high Z sputtering =>less high Z accumulation => higher confinement
- Improved prospects for liquid metal operation
 - Order of magnitude reduction in liquid MHD; also evaporation mitigation
- Reduces neutron damage
 - Enables use of ITER divertor plate hardware for CTF with minimum of:
 - Additional R&D time, money
 - Risk
 - Availability loss from frequent replacement, failure, etc.
 - (Since ITER hardware degrades after ~ 1 dpa, much less than CTF goal)

tifs

Divertor limits power density

- In steady state operation, the divertor limits the attainable power density even on ITER
 - 2007 ITER Physics Basis:
 - "The fusion gain in steady state maximizes at low density for constant β_N . The limitation on reducing the density in next generation tokamaks is set by the impact on the divertor."
 - "It should be noted that presently developed advanced scenarios have not yet provided fully integrated scenarios and several issues remain to be solved, such as edge compatibility with the divertor"
- Novel divertors are an important facet of ST research to ensure the ST can reach it's maximum potential power density
 - ST can also act as a testbed for divertor development for normal aspect ratio AT modes

1 deg limit => SXD is the only way to increase A_w

$$A_w = \frac{B_{p,sol}}{B_{div}} \frac{A_{sol}}{\sin(\theta)} \approx \left[\frac{B_p}{B_t}\right]_{sol} \frac{R_{div}}{R_{sol}} \frac{A_{sol}}{\sin(\theta)}$$

- Angle between total B and plate *must be* more than 1 degree, so
- Flux expansion gains via any route (tilting plate, XD, snowflake--) are equally limited
- SOL width (so A_{sol}) is a given by upstream physics
- So only knob left is R_{div}/R_{sol} --maximization of which is a crucial SXD strategy. Direct gain of ~2 in A_w



HPDX - CORSICA Equilibrium

SXD for Superconducting ARIES-AT Reactor

- SXD has been implemented within existing TF coils of SC reactors:
 - 1. Either with all axisymmetric PF coils outside TF coils (left fig.), or
 - 2. Or with modular coils not linked with TF coils (right fig.)
- No extra TF "real estate" is needed even for existing reactor designs
- The net MA-m in PF coils and their locations are similar to the standard divertor case



Example: SXD can save NHTX from heat flux menace

- With SXD & 30 MW, peak heat flux can be kept under 10 MW/m²
- Not possible with standard divertor (peak stays at 30-40 MW/m²)
- SOLPS 2-D calculations (Canik & Maingi) confirm 1-D code expectations

